Workshop: Groundwater Pumping Reduction Framework and Pilot Program

East Turlock Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Agency Technical Advisory Committee

MARCH 7, 2023



Workshop Topics

Introduction and Background

Pumping Management Framework Implementation Approach

Pumping Baseline and Reduction Target

Pumping Measurement Approach

Credits, Carryover, Pooling and Trading

Pilot Pumping Reduction Program



INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND



SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT (SGMA)

- Achieve groundwater sustainability in medium and high priority GW basins.
- Implement monitoring, projects and management actions to achieve sustainability within 20 years.
- Local control, backstopped by State intervention.

GROUNDWATER PUMPING AND SUSTAINABLE YIELD

 Long-term groundwater extraction has created a cone of depression in the eastern subbasin

How will we Meet Subbasin Sustainability Goals?

Project Implementation Strategy

- In Lieu Recharge
- Direct Recharge (Basins, Down Wells, Ag MAR)
- Dispersed Recharge
- Multi-Benefit Projects
- Land fallowing or repurposing

- Recharge Master Planning
- GRAT Modeling Tool and Prioritization Criteria
- Prioritize surface water
- Prioritize based on costbenefit potential
- Prioritize multiple benefits

Incentive programs and policies for:

 Land fallowing/ repurposing

Incentives

- Potential on-farm recharge credits
- Facilitation programs

Approaches

Priorities

Planned Projects

Planned Now

- Replenishment Water from Highline Canal
- Mustang Creek Flood Control Recharge Project
- Turlock Lake Rehabilitation

Recharge Master Plan

- Dry well FS and Pilot Studies
- Rouse Lake Multi-Benefit FS
- Dispersed Recharge FS and Pilot Studies
- Canal Water Recharge Pilot Study
- Turlock Lake Reoperation FS

MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

Category	Number	Management Action		
Demand Reduction	I	Voluntary Conservation and/or Land Fallowing		
Strategies	2	Conservation Practices		
	3	Groundwater Extraction Reporting Program		
Pumping Management	4	Groundwater Allocation and Pumping Management Program		
Framework	5	Groundwater Extraction Fee		
	6	Groundwater Pumping Credit Market and Trading Program		
Nition Studios	7	Domestic Well Mitigation Program		
Mitigation Strategies	8	Minimum Threshold Exceedance Response Plan		

MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

Category	Number	Management Action		
Demand Reduction	I	Voluntary Conservation and/or Land Fallowing		
Strategies	2	Conservation Practices		
	3	Groundwater Extraction Reporting Program		
Pumping Management	4	Groundwater Allocation and Pumping Management Program		
Framework	5	Groundwater Extraction Fee		
	6	Groundwater Pumping Credit Market and Trading Program		
Mitigation Studtogics	7	Domestic Well Mitigation Program		
Miligation Strategies	8	Minimum Threshold Exceedance Response Plan		

PUMPING MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH

PATHWAY TO SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT

PUMPING MANAGEMENT APPROACH

- Sustainable Yield can't be precisely quantified yet. Preliminary estimate is
 - ~ 25% reduction in net groundwater demand basin-wide is needed
- Met through combination of Projects <u>and</u> Management Actions
- Goal is to achieve sustainable pumping over 20 years
- Strategy:
 - I. Identify opportunities and implement recharge projects to the extent water is available and they are physically and economically feasible
 - 2. Begin Management Actions early
 - 3. Monitor results and adjust approach to achieve Interim Milestones

ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Adaptive Management of Pumping Reduction and Project Implementation to Achieve Sustainable Yield

■ Sustainable Pumping ■ Unsustainable Pumping ■ Project Yield ■ Demand Reduction

PUMPING MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE

PUMPING MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE – WORKSHOPS AND MILESTONES

PUMPING BASELINE AND REDUCTION TARGET

ET DATA USE AND LIMITATIONS

- Evaporation and plant water usage calculated from satellite and weather station data
- Relatively inexpensive, field scale coverage, historical use can be estimated as far back as satellite data are available
- Accuracy can be improved over time by on-the-ground measurement
- Accuracy not as important when two ET measurements are compared to assess trends or percent reductions

BASELINE CALCULATION

- Surface water deliveries include MID Northside Canal service area deliveries and TID replenishment water deliveries
- Analysis for irrigation season (March October) to minimize precipitation effects
- Analysis for each field for 2012 to 2021 (10 Years)
- Values averaged

Baseline Extraction in Irrigated Lands, March - October

Baseline Groundwater Extraction = 241,425 AF/year Equivalent Irrigation Water Application = 2.82 ft/year (33.8 in/year) Approximate Surface Water Deliveries = 12,000 AF/year

Pumping Reduction Target for Groundwater Irrigated Lands – 10%

Baseline Irrigation Water Application = 2.82 ft/year (33.8 in/year) Target Irrigation Reduction = 2.53 ft/year (30.4 in/year) Average Irrigation Reduction Achieved (Without Credits) =2.27 ft/year (27.3 in/year) Percent Reduction Achieved = 19 %

GROUNDWATER USE MEASUREMENT OPTIONS

HOW WE WILL USE ET AND METER DATA

METERING PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

Develop Groundwater Metering Plan and Policy

- Meter specifications, installation and maintenance requirements and standards
- Approved installation vendors
- o Grandfathering and variance requirements
- Telemetry preferred, or alternative reporting requirements

Program Funding

- Round 2 SGMA Support Grant Application includes \$985,000 to develop program and subsidize meter costs
- o Identify other grant and incentive opportunities
- Owner pays for installation and maintenance

Metering Program Requirements

Meter Specifications

- EM meters with possibility of variance
- Minimum accuracy +/- 5% certified by vendor (SB X7-7)
- Mid Kaweah GSA list is acceptable

Meter Installation

- o Comply with AWWA Standards and Standard Details to be developed
- Installed accuracy +/- 10% (SB X7-7)
- Telemetry with possibility of variance

Variance and Grandfathering

- Alternative metering approach as recommended by a Qualified Professional and approved by the GSA Board
- Calibration per SB X7-7 requirements

CREDITS, CARRYOVER, POOLING & TRADING

IMPLEMENTATION

- Intended to add operational flexibility, decrease adverse economic impacts
- Credit calculated by comparing actual pumping to pumping target allocation at the irrigated parcel level
- Based on ET data or meter data
- Managed using an internet portal where growers can securely access and manage information regarding their parcel

CREDITS AND CARRYOVER

Eligibility

- To be eligible, parcels must be designated as irrigated by the County Assessor
- Credits Issued to eligible parcels that pump groundwater below their allocated target in any given year
- Consideration will be given to a tiered credit system that awards a percentage of credit depending on how much a parcel pumps below its pumping target allocation
- Consideration will be given to providing longer-duration credits for irrigated parcels converted to permanent non-irrigated status to serve as an incentive

Application and Use

- Can be used to offset fees associated with pumping in excess of target allocations
- May be carried over on the parcel where they were issued, or pooled / traded with nearby parcels
- Use of credits would be registered on a secure internet portal

POOLING AND TRADING CONCEPTS

Preliminary Pooling and Trading Rules for Pilot Program

- Credits may be carried over for on the parcel on which they were originated at the following rates: 100% for one year and 50% for two years
- Credits may be pooled across multiple parcels under the same operation or ownership located within 3 miles of each other
- Credits may be traded with nearby parcels under different operation or ownership within 3 miles of each other as a private transaction
- Additional pooling and trading rules will be evaluated during the Pilot Program:
 - Potential additional rules based on local conditions (e.g., location near rivers)
 - Use of tiered credits, i.e., full credit up to a certain percentage below the target allocation and particle credit below that percentage

Pumping Reduction (10% Target) with Credits

•Fields Needing Credits = 1,204 •Fields with Credits Available = 996 Reduction Achieved without Credits =2.27 ft/year (27.3 in/year; 19%) •Minimum Reduction Achieved with Full Exercise of Credits = 2.53 ft/year (30.4 in/year; 10%) •Possible application of tiered credits based on amount that a parcel pumps below the target pumping allocation

PUMPING MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PILOT PROGRAM

PILOT PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Initial Pilot Program

- Up to 20 Participants; Choice of "Active" or "Passive" participation
- Monthly Reports
 - ET data for Assessor's Parcels, "Fields" and "Ranches"
 - Historical and allocated target annual ET with monthly breakdown for tracking
 - Forecast outcomes

Full Scale Virtual Program Interactive Portal (Late 2023)

- Portal will provide ability to view 2023 outcomes for any irrigated parcel
- Secure: Growers can only see their own data; Data/processing using cloud computing protected against loss or theft
- Decision Support Tool: Dashboard developed to inform irrigation decisions and credit use based on Initial Pilot Program
- Transferable: Once built, GSA can manage and operate
- Scalable: Can add credit pooling and trading, metering telemetry, customizable reports, etc.

Pilot Program Approach

Pilot Program Reporting Approach

Tracking of Actual Monthly ET Consumptive Use

Monthly Report Contents

- ET by parcel (APN), DWR- mapped fields and aggregated fields (ranches)
- ET map and charts for enrolled parcels
- Historical monthly and annual averages
- Weighted monthly and total annual pumping targets (in ET units)
- Year to date monthly actual and total forecast year-end pumping (in ET units)
- Current and forecast credit or deficit (in ET units)

Grower Data Report Setup

Area Breakdown

- Compliance assessed, reported and forecast at the APN level
- Data breakdown by DWR "Field" and "Ranches" (aggregated fields) to support interpretation
- Potential breakdown by irrigation block and metered well service area is possible
- Will need to develop crosswalks between different area types

Dashboard for Grower 'X'

Ranch	Number				I (Two Fields)		Ranch 5	Ranch 6	
APN					042-020-043		Trancit o		APN: 038-010-012
Acres					150.6		Darah 6	Ranch 6	AT 14. 020-000-000
Crop					Almond		Ranch 5	Kanch o	
Irrigatio	n Method				Drip		-		
Historic	Irrigation Se	ason (March	-October) V	Vater Consumption	39.1 inches (491 AF)		Ranch 3	4.001	
Pumping	Pumping Target (Annual)				30.4 inches (381 AF)		APN: 038-010-020		010-020
Actual L	Actual Used to date (example made up value)				2.8 inches (35 AF)				
		· •	•		· · · · · / · · · · ·				
		Pumping							
	Historic	Target	Utilized						
	(Inches)	(Inches)	(inches)	EXAMPL					
lan	0.7	((Ranch 2	
Feb	14								12.000.000
Mar	2.9	2.3	2.8	8.0				Ranch 2	APN: 042-020-045
Apr	4.4	3.4		7.0	Historic		-		
May	5.9	4.6		(s) +;; 6.0	Pumping Target		-	Ranch 2	
lun	6.5	5.1		Ë = 50	Actual				
Jul	6.9	5.4					Ranch 1		
Aug	5.8	4.5		¥.0 			-		
Sep	3.9	3.0					Ranch 1		
Oct	2.7	2.1					AI	PN: 042-020-0	43
Nov	1.4			1.0			Ranch 4		
Dec	0.8			0.0			Parch 4		
Annual	Cumulative	30.4	2.8	Jan Feb Mar Apr May	Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec		rvanch 4		

Dashboard for Grower 'X'

Dashboard for Grower 'X'

APN					042-020-043		
Ranch	Number				1 & 4 (Four Fields)	Ranch 5	Ranch 6 APN: 038-010-012
Acres					297.8		APN: 020-006-006
Crop					Almond	Ranch 5	Ranch 6
Irrigatio	on Method				Drip		
Histori	c Irrigation S	eason (Marcl	n-October) W	ater Consumption	39.4 inches (977 AF)	Ranch 3	
Pumpir	ng Target (Ani	nual)			30.4 inches (754 AF)		APN: 038-010-020
Actual	Used till date	e (example m	ade up value)		2.8 inches (69 AF)	Ranch 3	
	Historic (Inches)	Pumping Target (Inches)	Utilized (inches)	EXAMPL	EAPN		
lan	0.7	(inches)	(inclies)				
Feb	1.4			301111			Ranch 2
Mar	3.0	2.3	2.8	8.0			APN: 042-020-045
Apr	4.5	3.5		7.0	Historic		Ranch 2
May	5.9	4.6		es)	Pumping Target		Ranch 2
Jun	6.6	5.1		년 6.0 년	Actual		
Jul	7.0	5.4		₩ 5.0		Banch 1	
Aug	6.0	4.6		면 4.0		Transmit 1	
Sep	3.8	3.0		₩ 3.0		Banch 1	
Oct	2.6	2.0				TACHON T	APN: 042-020-043
Nov	1.4					Ranch 4	1 11. 072°020°040
Dec	0.8			1.0			
Annual	Cumulative	30.4	2.8	0.0		Ranch 4	
Project	ed Credit or	Deficit	7 (173 AF)	Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jur	Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec		