West Turlock Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Agency (WTSGSA) East Turlock Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Agency (ETSGSA)



Turlock Subbasin Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) Technical Workshop No. 4

> Joint Technical Advisory Committees (TACs) Meeting December 12, 2019



1

Agenda

- Background & Model Development
- Evaluation of DRAFT Model Calibration
 - Calibration Statistics- DRAFT
 - Groundwater Levels- DRAFT
 - Stream Hydrographs- DRAFT
- Review of Water Budgets
 - Land & Water Use Budgets- DRAFT
 - Groundwater Budgets- DRAFT





DRAF

Numerical Model Platform

- <u>Integrated</u> <u>W</u>ater <u>F</u>low <u>M</u>odel (IWFM)
- Developed and Supported by DWR
- Will be used by DWR to evaluate GSPs
- Used in numerous basins throughout the state including the Modesto and Merced Subbasins.
- Recommended by DWR for SGMA and GSP Development



IWFM in the Central Valley



<u>C2VSimFG Grid</u> <u>Statistics</u>

- 30,179 Nodes
 - Stream Lines
 - Agency Boundaries
 - 1/4 Mile Discretization
- 32,537 Elements
 - Ave. Size = 400 Acres
 - 13,256,118 Total Acres
- 110 Stream Reaches

DRAF

C2VSimFG in the Turlock Subbasin



Grid Statistics

- 865 Nodes
 - Follows Streams & DWR Demand Area Units
 - Avg 1.5-Mile Discretization
- 960 Elements
 - Ave. Size = 362 Acres
 - 348,000 Total Acres
- 3 Major Rivers
 - Merced River
 - San Joaquin River
 - Tuolumne River

DRAFT

Turlock Subbasin Ag. Agencies



- Entirely encompasses:
 - Turlock ID
 - Eastside WD
 - Ballico-Cortez WD
- Partially encompasses:
 - Merced ID
 - Stevinson WD





C2VSimFG-Turlock IDC Development



11

Land Use Data

Data Sources

- DWR County Land Use Surveys
- DWR Statewide (LandIQ) Land Use
- DWR Quad Map-Based Land Use
- DWR Decadal Estimated Land Use
- Locally Refined Data
 - Stanislaus County (2002 to 2015)
 - Merced County (2011-2015)



Turlock Subbasin



13

Soil Parameters

SSURGO and STATSGO

- Elemental Discretization
- Soil Hydrologic Group
 - Soils are classified by the Natural Resource Conservation Service into four Hydrologic Soil Groups based on the soil's physical characteristics.

Input Parameters

- Hydraulic Conductivity
- Pore Size Distribution Index
- Total Porosity
- Field Capacity
- Wilting Point



DRAF1

Root-Zone Parameters

	Wilting Point	Field Capacity	Porosity	Pore Size Distribution Index	Hydraulic Conductivity ¹	
clay loam	0.211	0.350	0.439	0.15	0.3	
sandy clay loam	0.153	0.261	0.397	0.16	7.8	
loam	0.120	0.241	0.392	0.18	9.9	
sandy loam	0.077	0.158	0.384	0.37	19.2	
loamy sand	0.022	0.081	0.400	1.02	29.7	
sand	0.005	0.038	0.424	2.65	36.7	
¹ Units of hydraulic conductivity are in feet per day						

Evapotranspiration

Data Sources

- Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC)
- Locally Refined Data (AWMP)
- Remote Sensing
- Per. Comm with Local ID Representatives



AF

39,855

40.139

40,678

41,035 44,723

48,002

49,924

45,885 49,131

52,526

53,620

57,144

57,106

58,775

56,645 57,870

57,821 57,464

54,041 48,078

45,890

47.563

47,454

46.649

40,096

Turlock Subbasin Total Urban Demand



















Model Basic Features

- Historical Period: 1922-2015
- Calibration Period: 1991-2015
- Hydrogeologic Layering:
 - 4 Basic Model Layers
 - 3 Principal Aquifers
 - 1. Upper Aquifer, above Corcoran on the West
 - 2. Lower Aquifer, below Corcoran on the West
 - 3. One Principal Aquifer to the East)
- GSAs boundaries are delineated as close as possible using the C2VSim-FG Grid
- Hydrologic Features:
 - Merced, San Joaquin, & Tuolumne Rivers

Model Calibration

27





Calibration Process

<u>Calibration Goals:</u>

- Produce water budgets that are reasonable and defensible and are comparable with other published reports
 - Land & Water Use
 - Groundwater
 - Stream
- Minimize differences between simulated and observed GW levels at:
 - GW levels at select wells used for model calibration
 - Streamflow at select gaging stations
- Adjust and refine parameters governing the root zone and land surface processes for the IDC simulation
- Adjust and refine aguifer hydrogeologic parameters to achieve calibration goals
- Iterate between the IDC and full IWFM to achieve appropriate balance between the land surface processes and groundwater processes in achieving a calibrated comprehensive model

29

Modeling Uncertainties are Due to Various Factors:

- <u>Structural Uncertainties</u>
 Theoretical Concepts and Representation of the Natural and Physical System
 Formulation, Code Development, Solution Techniques and Assumptions
 Representation of Physical Features
 Model Spatial and Temporal Resolution

Data Uncertainties

- Data and Information Accuracy, Data Gaps and Estimations
 Data Spatial and Temporal Resolution

Calibration Uncertainties

- Calibration Approach, Target Characteristics, Accuracy
 Estimates of Hydrologic and Hydrogeologic Parameters

Projection Uncertainties

- Primarily due to Data Projections and Forecasting Methods on: Land Use and Population Water Supply Conditions Climatic Conditions

DRAF1

Model Limitations

- Spatial resolution and grid size relative to:
 - Physical features (e.g., streams, geologic conditions, jurisdictional boundaries, land surface topography, etc.)
 - Operational features (Wells, canals, land parcels, etc.)
- Spatial and temporal resolution of data
- Data gap analysis
- Modeling a complex physical system



DRAF

Calibration Well Selection



- 125 Calibration Wells
- Considerations:
 - Period of Record
 - Dedicated monitoring location
 Availability of
 - construction information
 - Minimal outliers

33

Groundwater Hydrographs- Example



Calibration Statistics: Turlock Subbasin







Calibration Statistics: East Turlock GSA Groundwater Level Histogram **Groundwater Level Scatter Plot** East Turlock GSA East Turlock GSA 120 45% 45% 40% 35% 30% 25% 20% 15% 42% Simulated GWL +/- 10 feet: 66% Simulated GWL +/- 20 feet: 96% 100 249 80 Simulated 19% 60 11% Percent of 10% 40 5% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% -40 10 -10 to 0 0 to 10 20 -40 to -30 -30 to -20 20 More than 40 30 to 40 -20 to -10 to 20 to Less than 0 20 80 100 120 0 40 60 Range of Divergence Observed Units: Feet



Groundwater Hydrographs C2VSimM - Observation Well 35: 04S10E29B001M C2VSimM - Observation Well 31: 05S10E17M001M Groundwater Level (ft.) Groundwater Level (ft.) 2011 2011 2012 2013 2013 2015 1992 1991 Simulated Observation Simulated Observation GSF Western Upper Principal Aquifer (Above Corcoran) Western Upper Principal Aquifer (Above Corcoran)



Groundwater Hydrographs















Model Groundwater Level Contours



- Period: Sep 2015
- Principal Aquifers: Western Upper (Above Corcoran) and Eastern (deeper zones)
- San Joaquin Valley Water Year Index: Critical

45

Model Groundwater Level Contours



• Period: Sep 2015

- Principal Aquifers: Western Lower (Below Corcoran) and Eastern (deeper zones)
- San Joaquin Valley Water Year Index: Critical

DRAF

Upper Aquifer Parameters

	Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity ¹	Specific Storage	Specific Yield	Corcoran Vertical Conductivity ¹	Aquifer Vertical Conductivity ¹
Minimum	12.17	1.45 E-06	0.0396	0.0010	0.1217
First Quartile	40.65	3.03 E-06	0.0467	0.0050	0.4065
Average	66.85	6.23 E-06	0.0551	0.0050	0.6685
Third Quartile	97.24	5.67 E-05	0.1643	0.0050	0.9724
Maximum	100.00	9.88 E-05	0.1848	0.0080	1.0000

¹ Units of hydraulic conductivity are in feet per day

DRAFT

Lower Aquifer Parameters

	Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity ¹	Specific Storage	Specific Yield	Corcoran Vertical Conductivity ¹	Aquifer Vertical Conductivity ¹
Minimum	6.35	1.99E-06	0.0369	0.0010	0.0635
First Quartile	13.62	3.86E-06	0.0447	0.0050	0.1362
Average	20.98	7.61E-06	0.0530	0.0050	0.2098
Third Quartile	26.92	6.80E-05	0.1494	0.0050	0.2692
Maximum	40.88	1.21E-04	0.1793	0.0080	0.4088

¹ Units of hydraulic conductivity are in feet per day

Stream Flow Hydrographs Model Calibration

49













Land and Water Use Water Budgets

55





















Canal and Reservoir Recharge: Canal and reservoir seepage from Turlock and Merced Irrigation Districts and riparian surface water diverters.







DRAFT

GSA Water Budgets



Water budgets, available at the element level, are aggregated to reflect data at a resolution beyond the subbasin.













Canal and Reservoir Recharge: Canal and reservoir seepage from Turlock and Merced Irrigation Districts and riparian surface water diverters.



East Turlock: Groundwater Budget **Thousand Acre-Feet** -200 -300 -400 1992 1994 2000 2001 2004 Water Year Deep Percolation Groundwater Pumping Change in GW Storage Canal and Reservoir Recharge Inflow from Foothills

DRAF1

Next Steps

- Address Comments on Model Calibration, as appropriate
- Develop Baseline Model Scenario
- Analyze GW Sustainability Management Scenarios